1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
2	WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA JOHNSTOWN DIVISION					
3	TINTED CENERA OF IMPRICA					
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,					
5	Plaintiff, Case No: 14-cr-23					
6	v. Johnstown, Pennsylvania November 14, 2014					
7	JOSEPH D. MAURIZIO, JR.,					
8	Defendant.					
9						
10	TRANSCRIPT OF DE NOVO HEARING PROCEEDINGS					
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE KIM R. GIBSON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE					
12						
13	A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S					
14	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: Stephanie L. Haines, AUSA					
15	United States Attorney's Office Penn Traffic Building, Ste. 200					
16	319 Washington Street Johnstown, PA 15901					
17	FOR THE DEFENDANT: Steven P. Passarello, Esq.					
18	Passarello & Sisto 616 Hileman Street Altoona, PA 16601					
19						
20	COURT REPORTER: Kimberly K. Spangler, RPR United States District Court					
21	Penn Traffic Building, Ste. 204 319 Washington Street					
22	Johnstown, PA 15901 (814) 536-9999					
23						
24						
25						

1	<u>I N D E X</u>					
2	November 14, 2014					
3						
4	Government Witnesses: D	irect	Cross	Redirect	Recross	
5	JASON ADAMS	4	19	24	26	
6	KEVIN PETRULAK	28	46	50	52	
7	D 6					
8	Defense Witnesses: D	irect	Cross	Redirect		
9	VINCENT VENA	54	5 6			
10	JOHANNA VENA	58	63			
11	ROSEMARY DILORETO	64				
12	CHRISTINE SHAULIS	66	69			
13	SHAWN LEWIS	70	72			
14	THOMAS WEIMER	73				
15	THOMAS R. SEITZ	75				
16	RICHARD W. STERN	77				
17	Certificate of rep	porter			94	
18						
19			* * *			
20						
21	<u>EXHIBITS</u> Government					
22	Exhibits:			Marked Adm	<u>iitted</u>	
23	Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2				4 3 4 3	
24	Exhibit 3				43	
25						

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (The proceedings convened on November 14, 2014, 3 commencing at 9:00 a.m.) 4 THE COURT: This is the time and place set for 5 detention hearing in the case of United States v. Joseph 6 D. Maurizio, 14 Criminal 40. 14 Criminal 23. The 40 7 was the magistrate judge number; we now have a criminal 8 number assigned to it. 9 Counsel, if you would both enter your appearance 10 please, and tell me who you represent. 11 MS. HAINES: Good morning, Your Honor. Stephanie 12 Haines for the United States. 13 MR. PASSARELLO: Good morning, Your Honor. 14 Steven Passarello for the defendant, Joseph Maurizio. 15 THE COURT: Well, good morning to you and to the 16 defendant and to the others present today. 17 The purpose of today's proceeding is to decide 18 the issue of detention in this case. I realize there 19 have been prior proceedings in front of the magistrate 20 judge; however, there was a request for a de novo 21 hearing, and I granted that request. 22 Are the parties ready to proceed in this matter? 23 MS. HAINES: The United States is ready, Your 24 Honor. 25 MR. PASSARELLO: The defense is ready, Your

- Honor.

 THE COURT: Attorney Haines, on behalf of the

 United States if you would proceed.
- 4 MS. HAINES: The United States calls Special 5 Agent Jason Adams.
- JASON ADAMS, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN

 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 8 BY MS. HAINES:
- 9 Q. Would you please state your name for the record.
- 10 A. Jason Adams.
- 11 Q. And by whom are you employed?
- 12 A. Homeland Security Investigations.
- Q. And are you a special agent with them?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And how long have you been so employed?
- 16 A. Since July of 2009.
- Q. Prior to your employment with Homeland Security by
- whom were you employed?
- 19 A. I worked in the private sector in the defense
- 20 contract industry, human resources, risk management, and
- 21 private security.
- 22 Q. With your current position at Homeland Security
- where are you stationed?
- A. The HSI office in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
- Q. And could you please tell the Court a little bit

- 1 about your duties and responsibilities.
- 2 A. I work in the child exploitation group, investigate
- 3 crimes involving child exploitation.
- 4 Q. Have you become involved, as a member of the
- 5 investigative team, on the case of United States v.
- 6 Joseph Maurizio?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Could you please tell the Court how you became
- 9 involved with this case.
- 10 A. Due to the complexity of the case, I was asked to
- assist the case agent with this investigation.
- 12 Q. And who is the lead case agent?
- 13 A. Special Agent Molly Rock.
- Q. And are there a number of individuals as part of
- 15 your team?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Could you please describe at the outset when and how
- 18 you and your agency became involved.
- 19 A. In approximately February of 2014 the HSI office in
- 20 Pittsburgh received information that there were reports
- 21 of sexual abuse committed by Joseph Maurizio against
- 22 former male residents of ProNino Honduras in El Progreso
- Honduras.
- Q. And did you undertake an investigation as to what
- 25 ProNino was in Honduras?

A. Yes.

- 2 Q. And what did you come to learn about that location
- 3 and the children that resided there?
- 4 A. They're an orphanage that takes in homeless
- 5 children, needy children, hungry children in the
- 6 El Progreso area. They have multiple locations where
- 7 the children can stay and they can get food, clothes,
- 8 shelter.
- 9 Q. And what did your investigation reveal as to
- 10 Defendant Maurizio's contact with that location?
- 11 A. Our investigation revealed that he had started there
- 12 in the early 2000s, approximately, and he had been
- involved with funding and traveling to ProNino Honduras
- and developing the orphanages, building buildings, and
- assisting them with developing the orphanages in
- 16 | general.
- Q. When your agency became aware of these allegations
- 18 in February of this year, did you also learn that
- another federal agency had been involved in this case?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Could you tell the Court what information you had
- 22 relative to that.
- 23 A. We received information that the FBI had received a
- report in approximately 2009 of these allegations.
- Q. Did your agency then coordinate with the FBI on

1 this?

- 2 A. Yes, we did, fully. We requested and received full
- 3 reports from the FBI regarding their investigation.
- 4 Q. And what did you come to learn about the progress or
- 5 ability of the FBI to proceed on this case?
- 6 A. We were informed that while their investigation was
- 7 still open that they had referred the investigation --
- 8 requested the assistance, rather, of their legat office
- 9 in a neighboring country -- they didn't have a presence
- 10 in Honduras -- and that legat office wasn't able to get
- 11 into Honduras to locate victims, conduct interviews, and
- 12 further their investigation.
- 13 Q. So it wasn't that the FBI dropped this case, did
- 14 they?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. As a result of your coordination with the FBI, did
- you also undertake looking into the travel habits of
- 18 Defendant Maurizio?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And what did your investigation reveal?
- 21 A. That he extensively traveled to South American
- 22 countries, specifically Honduras, multiple times,
- 23 coinciding with trips that witnesses described to us,
- and that he also traveled to the Dominican Republic,
- 25 Costa Rica, Haiti, Nicaragua.

- Q. And this travel by Maurizio to Central American countries continued until when?
- A. Our records show that he traveled to Honduras up
- 4 until the allegations in 2009, and then he stopped
- 5 traveling to Honduras for approximately a year and a
- 6 half. Our records show that he didn't travel for that
- 7 period of time. And then when he began to travel again
- 8 he didn't travel back to Honduras.
- 9 Q. Did he travel during the calendar year 2014 out of
- 10 the country?
- 11 A. Yes, he did.
- 12 Q. And were there multiple trips out of the country in
- 13 this calendar year?
- 14 A. There were. He traveled to Costa Rica and Haiti.
- Q. Now, you testified that the FBI was unable to get
- 16 into Honduras. Was your agency able to go down to
- Honduras after you received the allegations of abuse?
- 18 A. Yes, it was. Our office there is well equipped to
- 19 handle investigations like this. We have an HSI -- we
- 20 have a special agent that's assigned to the HSI legat
- 21 office, and we have a vetted unit which is made up of
- 22 Honduran national police officers, which is
- 23 approximately 20 in number. So they were better
- 24 equipped to locate witnesses and further the
- 25 investigation for HSI.

- 1 Q. To date, how many times has the investigative HSI
- 2 team with the attaché unit there been in Honduras for
- 3 interviews?
- 4 A. Twice.
- 5 Q. Let's talk about your first trip to Honduras, when
- 6 | would that have occurred?
- 7 A. In late July, early August of 2014.
- 8 Q. And what was accomplished, in a general sense,
- 9 during that trip to Honduras?
- 10 A. As a result, we were able to locate two witnesses
- 11 who provided information relevant to the sexual abuse
- 12 claims against Joseph Maurizio, and we were able to
- 13 interview one victim who disclosed sexual abuse by
- Joseph Maurizio. This victim was a minor when the
- 15 sexual abuse was committed.
- 16 Q. Did this minor come screaming forward to us telling
- 17 us everything?
- 18 A. No. These witnesses, as well as the victim, had to
- be located. They did not approach us and request to be
- 20 interviewed whatsoever. Our vetted unit in Honduras had
- 21 to go and locate these individuals with the assistance
- of ProNino Honduras.
- 23 Q. As a result of being identified with a victim minor
- 24 during your first trip to Honduras, what was the result
- of that? What action did we take here in the United

1 States?

- 2 A. There was a -- Joseph Maurizio was charged with one
- 3 count, based on the sexual abuse allegation.
- 4 Q. Now, you also said that you made two trips to
- 5 Honduras. When was your second trip to Honduras?
- 6 A. Just recently, the 1st of November 2014.
- 7 Q. And when did the team return back from Honduras?
- 8 A. We just returned a few days ago.
- 9 Q. As a result -- why did you go back a second time to
- 10 Honduras?
- 11 A. We went back because on the first trip the witnesses
- 12 | provided additional people that we should speak to that
- 13 possibly were abused as well and might be able to
- 14 provide information. Those individuals weren't located
- on the first trip, so that's why we went back on the
- second trip, because those individuals were potentially
- 17 located.
- 18 Q. And were additional victims located during the trip
- 19 that you just returned from this week?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. How many additional victims do we have?
- 22 A. Two.
- Q. And were they both minors?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And in a general sense, what did Victim Number 2 and

- 1 | Minor Victim Number 3 state?
- 2 A. They disclosed sexual abuse by Joseph Maurizio while
- 3 they were minors and living at ProNino Honduras.
- 4 Q. While you were in Honduras were the Honduran
- 5 authorities also involved in your investigation?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Let's talk about your first trip and Minor Victim
- 8 | Number 1, who is in our Count 1 of our indictment. What
- 9 is your understanding of what the Honduran authorities
- 10 have done in reference to Victim Number 1?
- 11 A. They have issued an arrest warrant based on that,
- 12 the information obtained from that victim, and that
- 13 arrest warrant is currently still active.
- 14 Q. As a result of the investigation that was just
- concluded a few days ago, what are the Honduran
- authorities intending to do with Minor Victim Number 2
- and Minor Victim Number 3?
- 18 A. They informed us that they were going to file
- 19 additional charges based on the information obtained
- 20 from those victims as well.
- 21 Q. And what is your understanding of what we here in
- the United States plan to do?
- 23 A. File additional charges as well for those two new
- 24 victims.
- Q. As part of your investigation as a Homeland Security

agent, were you able to take part in or aware of either
border searches or inbound inspections that occurred
within the last calendar year when Defendant Maurizio

returned from his out-of-country trips?

5 A. Yes.

- Q. How many of these inbound inspections were conducted by Homeland Security?
- 8 A. There were two.
- 9 Q. Could you just sort of tell me when and from what country was the inbound inspection for.
 - A. In March there was an inbound inspection that was conducted. And then in late July, early August there was a -- actually, it was August rather -- there was an inspection done as well, and items were searched as part of a border search process.
 - Q. Could you please explain to the Court exactly what -- and let's talk specifically about the most recent inbound inspection border search that was conducted in late July, early August as the defendant was arriving back from Costa Rica. Tell us exactly what that incorporates.
 - A. The devices that were with Mr. Maurizio at the time that he was entering the country were seized and searched. A camera was searched, the memory card of that camera was searched and photographs were located on

1 that camera that were of interest to us. Two in 2 particular which we would deem, I quess it would be 3 called child erotica. It appeared to be a 10- to 4 12-year-old boy. There were two pictures of him sitting 5 on a beach. One was an overall picture of his whole 6 body, he was clothed. And then the other picture was 7 zoomed in with his groin area featured in the center of 8 the photograph still clothed on the second photo. And 9 then the other photo that we -- or the photo that we 10 found was approximately a 15-, 16-year-old boy. Another 11 photo of his groin area, the groin area featured 12 prominently in the center of the picture. 13 And these were pictures that were discovered during 14 the inbound search of the defendant's personal items, 15 correct? 16 Yes. Α. 17 Was a cell phone also seized from the defendant as a 18 result of the inbound inspection that just occurred a 19 few months ago? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Was there anything relevant on the defendant's cell 22 phone that your agency found? 23 There was a Google translation app history that was 24 located, and in that translation history there were 25 translations that were done on the phone that indicated

- 1 Joseph Maurizio was having a conversation with a minor
- 2 male in an inappropriate way, of a sexual nature.
- 3 Q. And how did we know that it was with an underage
- 4 boy?
- 5 A. There were references in the translations to the
- 6 individual being under the age of 18, and approximately
- 7 15 to 16 years of age.
- 8 Q. And you said there was inappropriate dialogue
- 9 between the defendant on his cell phone and this minor
- 10 child. And why was that dialogue of interest?
- 11 A. There were references to questions asking if the
- 12 individual was having sex with his girlfriend and if the
- individual wanted Mr. Maurizio to spend the night.
- Q. Was another investigative step that was taken was
- 15 the obtaining of search warrants for relevant property
- specific to the defendant?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And how many search warrants were obtained?
- 19 A. Two search warrants for the farm location in Windber
- 20 and the rectory in Central City.
- 21 Q. And when were these search warrants executed?
- 22 A. August of -- I believe it was August or September of
- 23 2014.
- Q. So within the last few months?
- 25 A. Within the last few months, yes.

- 1 Q. And were teams dispersed to both the rectory and the
- 2 farm, pursuant to these search warrants?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And what was seized during this execution?
- 5 A. Several miscellaneous documents, groups of
- 6 miscellaneous documents, CDs, DVDs, videotapes,
- 7 computers, cell phones.
- 8 Q. Let's talk about the pictures. Were there thousands
- 9 of pictures seized?
- 10 A. There were, yes.
- 11 Q. And were or have they been previewed?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Were there specific pictures found that resulted in
- Count 2 of our federal indictment for possession of
- child pornography?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And where were those pictures located?
- 18 A. They were located on one of the computers that was
- 19 seized from the rectory.
- Q. Were there also additional pictures that were
- 21 | flagged by your agency of relevance to this case?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. So in addition to the two images he's charged with
- child pornography, could you tell the Court the
- 25 additional images that are currently flagged for further

investigation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

21

22

- A. We term those images child erotica. They were images, appeared to be taken in Honduras of nude minors. One of the groupings of photographs was of children playing in a mud hole, appear to be swimming in a mud hole. And it was a makeshift swimming hole, and they were nude, and there didn't appear to be any attempt to not photograph the children nude. The nudity of the children was predominant in the photographs. And the
- last photograph in the series was of the children standing in front of the camera as well.
- Q. And they were naked?
- A. They were holding their hands over their groin area in the very last photo.
- Q. But they had no clothing on, correct?
- 16 A. Yes, that's true.
- Q. Were there other sequences of pictures that
- 18 predominantly focused on naked toddlers?
 - A. Yes. There was another grouping of photographs that appeared to be taken on the side of the road, and there was various photographs of a nude toddler wearing a hat, and then there was a photograph of Joseph Maurizio holding one of the naked toddlers.
- Q. In addition to previewing the pictures, did your
- agency also undertake looking at recent downloads that

- 1 had occurred on the defendant's computer that was 2
- 3 A. Yes. There was a recent web history that was
- 4 reviewed.

seized?

- 5 And the recent web history would indicate what?
- 6 A. Websites that were visited. In particular of
- 7 interest to us there was a website called "just us boys"
- 8 that was visited. The specific web page was, appeared
- 9 to be a forum where a person posted a conversation about
- 10 a gay relationship they were having with another male.
- 11 Further review of that website revealed there was a
- 12 section of that website titled "younger."
- 13 And was this "just us boys" website accessed
- 14 multiple times on the computer of the defendant?
- 15 Α. Yes.
- 16 Was there also a review of any recent articles that
- 17 had been downloaded on the defendant's computer?
- 18 There were. In particular, an article regarding sex
- 19 tourism in Costa Rica.
- 20 Q. And would that article have been downloaded just
- 21 prior to the defendant's trip to Costa Rica?
- 22 It appeared it had been downloaded and reviewed
- 23 prior to the trip.
- 24 Q. Were there any other videos that were downloaded
- 25 that were of interest that were recent downloads?

A. There were two YouTube videos that were present in the web history. One was of a -- appeared to be a beauty pageant involving young males in the Philippines approximately 15 to 16 years of age. The video was still posted at YouTube when we viewed it, and the video predominantly shows younger males under the age of 18 in a fashion show wearing a Speedo-type outfit. The camera focuses in on their groin area several times.

And the other video that was of interest to us was entitled to the effect of "black man rapes a white boy." However, when we reviewed that video it appeared to be of two adult males in a prison cell fight.

- Q. But the search terms that would have been used to access or find that video would have involved "black male raping white boy"?
- 16 A. Yes, that's reasonable to determine.
- Q. And these were all recent downloads that were on the defendant's computer at the time you seized them in
- 19 September, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. As a result of the investigation and the subsequent
- 22 charges, did your agency sponsor a tip line?
- A. Yes. We requested tips to be called in.
- Q. And were there any relevant tips that you have
- followed up on from that tip line?

1 A. Yes. We received a tip that there were possibly two 2 young relatives of Joseph Maurizio possibly been abused. 3 Did we come to find out what the age of these boys 4 were? 5 Α. One was I believe under the age of ten years of age. 6 And did we follow up with this tip to try to Q. 7 determine whether or not these young children had been 8 abused? 9 A. We did. We approached the parent and requested to 10 forensically interview the children by a forensic 11 interview specialist, and that request was denied. 12 MS. HAINES: No further questions. 13 THE COURT: Counsel, cross examine. 14 MR. PASSARELLO: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Can you use the podium. 16 MR. PASSARELLO: Oh, yes. I'm sorry. 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. PASSARELLO: Agent, before I forget this question, the United 19 20 States Attorney Haines asked you about the YouTube 21 video, the "black man rapes white boy." And she 22 indicated that in order to get that you have to use the 23 search term "black man rapes white boy," correct? 24 That's a reasonable assumption to make, because you 25 would have to type that title similar to that name of

1 that video in order for it to come up in your search 2 results. 3 Do you know what the search was? Q. 4 Α. No. 5 Ο. No. 6 And are you familiar with the original criminal 7 complaint that was filed in this case? Yes, I am. 8 Α. 9 And in that criminal complaint isn't it true that 10 there were actually John Doe 1, John Doe 2, John Doe 3, 11 which are three alleged victims? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Okay. And are those other two victims referenced in Q. 14 that complaint the two that you're talking about that 15 you went back for in November? 16 Α. No. 17 Okay. And, as I understand it, even though there 18 were three alleged victims in the original complaint, 19 the grand jury indicted only on one; is that correct? 20 Α. Yes. 21 And it's my understanding that these two additional 22 victims that you have allegedly found in the Honduras, 23 the intention, as you believe it to be, is that Honduras 24 is going to charge. But have they charged yet?

25

Α.

No.

- 1 Q. And the intention is that the United States is going
- 2 to charge, but have they charged yet?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. On the original charge in Honduras, has Honduras
- 5 initiated extradition proceedings against my client?
- 6 A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. United States Attorney Haines asked you about the
- 8 devices that were searched and seized on recent trips
- 9 from Father Maurizio.
- 10 Is it my understanding that after your review of
- 11 that the only charges based upon that search to date are
- the two pictures that you referenced?
- 13 A. Yes, that's my understanding.
- 14 | Q. And is it my understanding that according to the
- United States Attorney at prior hearings, there's
- 16 approximately 18,000 pictures that you have reviewed?
- 17 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 18 Q. And out of 18,000 it's my understanding that only
- 19 two are the basis for the child possession, child
- 20 pornography charge, correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. The other stuff talked about the beauty
- 23 pageants, the picture of Father Maurizio holding a
- 24 toddler who's naked. There are no charges based on
- 25 those, correct?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. You went to Honduras twice you said?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Did you visit the orphanage?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Would it be fair to say that -- well, let me ask you
- 7 this: Did you observe any of the poor children there
- 8 that actually were naked?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. No.
- Did you observe the abject poverty in that area?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And you indicated on your first trip to Honduras
- 14 that you didn't go out seeking this victim that you
- 15 interviewed, correct?
- 16 A. I'm sorry --
- 17 Q. Let me rephrase, I misspoke.
- 18 You indicated that the victim did not come running
- 19 to you.
- 20 A. Yes. Correct.
- 21 Q. Who pointed you in the direction of that victim?
- 22 A. We received reports from ProNino Honduras.
- 23 Q. And as I understand this matter, five years ago --
- 24 the allegations that are based against Father Maurizio,
- at least at this point, were from a trip in 2009,

- 1 correct? His trip to Honduras in 2009.
- 2 A. The most recent trip we took was based on
- 3 information that was provided on our, just our most
- 4 recent trip in July, beginning of August. That trip was
- 5 based on information from 2009.
- 6 Q. And that's the basis of the charges that are
- 7 presently before this Court?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And five years ago I think you indicated that
- 10 the FBI was investigating these allegations, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And the FBI filed no charges against Mr. Maurizio,
- 13 | correct?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- Q. And, as I understand it, it's your testimony that
- 16 the FBI couldn't get into Honduras?
- 17 A. That's my understanding.
- 18 Q. Okay. And is it your testimony that the FBI did not
- 19 have witness statements in their files regarding alleged
- 20 sexual activity?
- 21 A. I have not personally reviewed the FBI files so I'm
- 22 not aware if they have witness statements in their file.
- 23 Q. Are you aware that they were provided with witness
- 24 statements from individuals from ProNino USA?
- A. I'm not aware of that, no.

1 Q. The Google translation testimony that you testified 2 to, are charges filed on that case? 3 Α. No. 4 MR. PASSARELLO: I don't have anything further, 5 Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Thank you. 7 Attorney Haines. 8 MS. HAINES: Nothing further, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Attorney Haines, with regard to the 10 alleged Victims 2 and 3, can you develop a little bit 11 more for the Court the time frame when these alleged 12 offenses occurred. 13 MS. HAINES: Yes, Your Honor. 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MS. HAINES: 16 Special Agent Adams, specific to let's talk first 17 about Minor Victim Number 2. Were you present when 18 Minor Victim Number 2 was located and interviewed? 19 A. Yes. 20 And what is your understanding of the time frame by 21 which Victim Number 2 was subjected to sexual 22 inappropriate actions by the defendant? A. He was approximately 15, 16 years of age. Of 23 24 course, this victim didn't keep track of -- make note of 25 when he was actually abused by Joseph Maurizio, but he

- 1 indicated to us he was a minor, he was approximately
- 2 around that age.
- 3 Q. And did he identify for us that he was located as an
- 4 orphan or basically a ward of ProNino when this
- 5 occurred?
- 6 A. Yes. Yes, he was a resident of ProNino.
- 7 Q. And did he detail not only his age but exactly what
- 8 was done to him by the defendant?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And was the information he provided consistent with
- other information from witnesses that we had already
- 12 received?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Let's talk about Minor Victim Number 3. Were you
- present in Honduras when this victim was located and
- 16 interviewed?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And did he provide to us a time frame or his age at
- 19 the time he was subjected to the actions of the
- 20 defendant?
- 21 A. His statement to us was that the abuse started
- 22 approximately 12 or 13 years of age, and he was at
- 23 ProNino Honduras as a resident there when it began, and
- 24 it occurred over the course of a number of years until
- it stopped in approximately 2009.

And did he also detail for us during that time frame 1 Ο. 2 specific instances of abuse that he was subjected to at 3 the hands of the defendant? 4 Α. Yes. 5 And did the information he provided to us during 6 this interview, was it also consistent with information 7 we had received from others? 8 Α. Yes, it was. 9 MS. HAINES: Anything further, Your Honor? 10 THE COURT: No, that's fine. 11 Attorney Passarello, do you have some follow-up 12 questions? 13 MR. PASSARELLO: Just briefly, Your Honor. 14 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. PASSARELLO: 16 Agent, Victim Number 2 said the abuse was when he 17 was 15 or 16. What year was he 15 or 16? 18 He's approximately 19 years of age now, so this 19 would have been 2008 -- approximately 2008, 2009. 20 Again, he was very unclear about when this happened. Не 21 could not remember specific dates, specific years. 22 Okay. But he did provide to you his age at the 23 time? 24 Α. Approximate, yes.

Okay. So you're saying 2008, 2009 potentially?

25

Q.

- 1 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. And the other individual was 12 or 13 at the
- 3 time?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And what year was he 12 or 13?
- 6 A. He's 21 now, so approximately seven, eight years ago
- 7 when this happened.
- 8 Q. Okay. And, again, just so I'm clear, I'm assuming
- 9 Victim 2 -- I shouldn't assume.
- So I'm clear, Victim 2 and 3, were they -- were you
- pointed in their direction by ProNino Honduras?
- 12 A. We were provided their names by ProNino Honduras and
- also by other witnesses.
- Q. Okay. Who runs ProNino Honduras now?
- A. There is a board that's in charge of ProNino
- 16 | Honduras made up of multiple individuals involved in the
- 17 | local community, people that fund it.
- 18 MR. PASSARELLO: Thank you. Nothing further.
- 19 THE COURT: Anything further?
- MS. HAINES: No, Your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Thank you. You can step down.
- 22 Call your next witness, Attorney Haines.
- 23 MS. HAINES: Thank you. The United States calls
- 24 Special Agent Kevin Petrulak.
- 25 KEVIN PETRULAK, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MS. HAINES:

- 3 Q. Please state your name for the record.
- 4 A. Kevin Petrulak. My last name is spelled
- $5 \qquad P-E-T-R-U-L-A-K$.
- 6 Q. By whom are you employed?
- 7 A. The criminal investigation division of the Internal
- 8 Revenue Service.
- 9 Q. How long have you been so employed?
- 10 A. Since January of 2002.
- 11 Q. And where is your current duty station?
- 12 A. Pittsburgh.
- Q. Can you please tell the Court what are your duties
- 14 | and responsibilities in your capacity as a special agent
- with criminal investigations for IRS.
- 16 A. I conduct criminal investigations that relate to any
- 17 federal crime that has a financial nexus. The main
- 18 focus of my agency would be to conduct tax fraud
- 19 investigations, money laundering investigations, and the
- 20 structuring of money. But that would constitute a
- 21 variety of other crimes, because a lot of federal crimes
- 22 are -- involving use of money or are conducted for
- 23 money.
- Q. And can you tell the Court how you became involved
- 25 in this investigation.

A. Sure. In the past I've been involved a lot with immigration and custom investigations, and I sit at their office a couple days a week as an unofficial liaison between the agencies so I can assist them with any financial nexus that they have with their investigation.

And they approached me approximately a month ago with information about Mr. Maurizio, that he had a significant amount of retirement savings, an unusual amount, approaching a million dollars. And they asked me to assist them with trying to figure out how he was able to accumulate such a retirement.

- Q. Okay. And as a result of having that conversation and coming on board, what did you start to undertake to do?
- A. HSI had already subpoenaed some financial records, so I started by reviewing the records that they had already received from those subpoenas.
- Q. And in the records that you were reviewing, did you start to understand personal banking accounts in Defendant Maurizio's name alone, as well as accounts that Mr. Maurizio, as well as others, had signature authority on?
- A. Yes.

Q. And in summary fashion, could you please tell the

- 1 Court -- let's first talk about personal accounts that 2 were solely or are solely accessible by the defendant. 3 A. He currently has two checking accounts, one at 1st 4 Summit Bank, one at Somerset Trust. He has a retirement 5 account that has check-writing privileges on it, so it 6 works sort of like a checking account as well with 7 Stifel Nicolaus. 8 And the accounts that you're talking about that he 9 has personal signature authority and sole signature 10 authority on, what is an amount? 11 Counting -- he also has investment accounts with 12 insurance companies that have a cash value. Counting --13 and another investment that he has, he doesn't really 14 have check-writing privileges on, but counting those 15 accounts his net worth was over a million dollars. 16 And was there one Stifel Nicolaus account in 17 particular that had over \$800,000 for his personal -- or 18 at his personal disposal?
- 19 A. Yes. That's correct.

21

- Q. As a result of seeing, as I believe you said, an unusual amount of wealth -- well, let me ask you this:

 Why do you say it's unusual for Mr. Maurizio to have
- 23 wealth in excess of a million dollars?
- A. As a priest I was told I guess he reported to the Courts that he makes somewhere around \$25,000 a year,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and he's been a priest for approximately 25 years. I'm not aware of any other source of income that he has other than his livelihood as a priest. So for somebody to have that amount of income, it's unusual for them to even -- even staying at the rectory and stuff I think it's unusual to be able to save that amount of money. So as a result of this unusual finding what did you start to do? When I first get financial records I usually -- I'll do a cursory look through the records to see if I can identify other financial accounts that have not yet been subpoenaed, so if it takes a while I get information back from financial institutions. So that's the first thing I did here. I did a cursory review of the records to see if I could identify additional sources of information, which I did. I advised you that we needed some more subpoenas to go out for those institutions, which we got out. And then after that I began to put the financial records into spreadsheets line by line. I believe the spreadsheets for the three checking-writing accounts that Mr. Maurizio has personally are around 2000 lines long. But once I have that inputted, then I'm able to sort it by date or by payee, payor, by debits and credits,

- and I'm able to make much more sense of the activity in
 the accounts that way.
 - Q. What were you looking for specifically as you were making these spreadsheets?
- A. Sources of income, sources of the funds in the account was my main focus initially.

7 MS. HAINES: May I approach, Your Honor? 8 THE COURT: Yes.

Q. I'm showing you what's marked as Government

Exhibits 1, 2, and 3. Defense counsel has been

previously provided with them, and I am also providing a

copy to the Court.

Agent, if you could look at those three government exhibits, and let's start with Government Exhibit

Number 1, and would you tell me what that is.

A. Sure. Government Exhibit Number 1 is a snapshot of the credit items that were deposited into the three check-writing accounts held personally by Mr. Maurizio.

I say snapshot because it's not all inclusive because what I did, I mentioned previously that the original spreadsheet is 2000 lines long, so there's a lot of transactions. So once I sorted the debits and credits by payee and payor, I kind of pulled out what I thought would be useful and really taking a look at -- at what we wanted to focus on.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So there are other items that aren't on this spreadsheet. I believe the original credit spreadsheet that I have is maybe closer to 20 pages, but this one's only, you can see, seven pages long. But you can see that it's sorted by payee, so you can see who is providing the source of funds to Mr. Maurizio. The initial item on there is cash, and you can see that there is a total of \$9,195 in cash deposited into those accounts.

And just to step back to add a little further explanation of the schedule, if you look over to the far left that's the institution that it came out of, and then his name appears on all the accounts, so it's his name under "account name." It provides the account number. The "transaction type" would be credit. "Statement date" would be the statement you can find each transaction on. "Transaction date" would be the date that it cleared the bank. "Item date" would be like if there's a check written, the date on that item in particular. And on the far right is the amount of the item. And next to that, the "memo line" would be if there was anything written in the memo line of any check item, that's where that would occur. And then "analysis notes" would be if there's anything that I want to note for future reference for myself I would put that

information there.

So, as you can see, the first one, as I mentioned, was the cash deposits. And below that would be the check items that he deposited from a Central City Youth Ministry account which is an Our Lady Queen of Angels Ministry church account.

After that checks deposited from HIM. That's

Mr. Maurizio's charity that he operates to help fund the

orphanage and the missionary trips that he goes on.

After that would be checks that he wrote to himself.

There's often transfers between accounts. If it is

you'll note -- I'll note on the analysis notes which

account it came from.

After that, the majority of checks after that are all from Our Lady Queen of Angels for various reasons. The memo line usually indicates the purpose of the check. In fact, that's the remaining of the checks on this spreadsheet. The deposits on the spreadsheet would be Our Lady Queen of Angels.

- Q. Did you start to notice in the memo line of the check "reimbursement for cash" indicators?
- A. Yeah. Well, I don't have any reimbursement for cash, but you see reimbursements for lots of things. A lot of times it does indicate that he paid cash. For example, if you look at the second check under

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Humanitarian Interfaith Ministry, check number 135, you can see in the memo line of the check he writes "to cover cash loan from Father Joe to cover check" in the amount of \$4,000. So that indicates to me that Mr. Maurizio had \$4,000 up-front money that he used, and then the charity was refunding that money back to him. If you look on page 2, item number 204, from Humanitarian Interfaith Ministry --0. Which is the second one down on page 2 --Α. Which is the second one down on page 2, yes. It says "cash paid in Nicaragua for orchard by Karen Keasley." It's for \$3,000. So that would indicate to me that he had \$3,000 in cash that he used apparently to pay Ms. Keasley, which he was now being reimbursed for. In addition to that you'll see other mission trip expenses being reimbursed. Typically, it's what all the checks from HIM are for. He goes on a mission trip, he comes home with receipts, and he gets reimbursement from a charity for these expenses. I spoke with Mr. -- now, the HIM account is a double-signature account. So Mr. Maurizio can't just withdraw the funds himself. He needs a second signature. And that second person on that account right now is Dick Stern. And I spoke with Mr. Stern about these reimbursement checks, and he explained to me that

typically, other than maybe the rental car payments and the airfare, which typically they require a credit card nowadays, most of these reimbursements are receipts that Mr. Maurizio brings back from a trip that indicates that he spent cash for these expenses, and now he's requesting to be reimbursed for them.

So I would say the majority, even though a lot of these checks don't indicate that he spent cash, based on my conversation with Mr. Stern and looking at -Mr. Stern actually provided us with some packets that Mr. Maurizio would give to him for these reimbursements that actually had receipts that showed him spending cash.

So I would say the majority of these reimbursements from the charity account would have been cash expenditures that he made that he was now being reimbursed for.

- Q. So when you see these repetitive requests by the defendant for cash reimbursements, do you next look to see where is this cash coming from that he has in his pocket at the outset?
- A. Yes. The first thing I would do is I would go to Government Exhibit Number 2, because that's the money's coming out of his personal accounts. Because I would suspect that if somebody has cash and they don't have a

cash business or some other cash source -- which I don't know Mr. Maurizio to have -- then they must be withdrawing the money out of their bank account and then obtaining the cash that way.

So Government Exhibit Number 2 is the same as

Number 1 except for it's the debits, the money coming

out. And, again, I pulled out particular items of

interest to us. And you can see -- and there's a few

ways to get cash. You can write a check to yourself and

go to the bank, you can write a check to the bank and go

to the bank and ask for cash, you can write a check to

cash and go to an ATM. And usually I would say most

people frequently would go to the ATM if they needed

cash, or write a check to themselves for cash.

But you can see on page 1 the second item down is cash. And he only has made two items that show to be cash, and one of them actually would just be a check written to cash for \$127,000 and some change, and that was conducted by his power of attorney, Christine Shaulis, in order to obtain a cashier's check on his behalf. So the only real cash transaction that I see is an ATM withdraw dated back in July of 2011 for \$99.70.

I next would think that he may have written checks to himself which he cashed at the bank, so I go to the second page where I show checks written to Mr. Maurizio.

And you can see they only total together \$3,478.93, one of which was of note to me because in the memo line the check says "Honduras for Western Union transfer, \$2,000," which would cover more than half of that amount. So that didn't explain how he got the cash to me either.

The checks to the banks I looked at. I didn't really see -- most of the checks to 1st Summit Bank on page 1 would have been for counter checks. I didn't see that explaining where he got the cash. The Somerset Trust Company checks that he wrote, most of those were to pay for a Somerset Trust credit card. So I didn't see him withdrawing these funds from the accounts that he was depositing these reimbursements back into, so I couldn't explain the cash that way.

So the only other explanation that I could -- I could come up with is possibly taking cash advances on credit cards. And although I do not have his ES-2 credit card accounts, Chase and the one at Somerset Trust, the Chase account I believe he quit using in 2012, and that's when he begins using this Somerset Trust account. We do not have the records yet from Chase. We are still attempting to obtain those. But we do have the records for Somerset Trust Company and the credit card he holds with them, and that would be

Government Exhibit Number 3.

So if you review Government Exhibit Number 3 you don't see any cash withdrawals from the credit card or cash advances. And more of note to me is that if you actually go back and compare the -- if you go back to some of the trips that have occurred within this time frame, beginning in August 2012 through September of 2014 that this credit covers, I don't really see a lot of expenditures being put on this credit card, other than maybe airfare or rental cars, that would explain him paying for these monies, these reimbursements through credit card transactions. Like you don't see him using them at restaurants or anywhere else when he's on location on these mission trips. So the credit card doesn't explain to me how he was able to come up with the source of funds for these reimbursements either.

And in addition to the reimbursements for the HIM Ministry, there's also numerous smaller reimbursements more in the hundreds or less dollar range in relation to his work with the church. And if you read through the memo lines of the transactions, for a lot of the checks from Our Lady Queen of Angels you'll see he's being reimbursed for different things along the way. And so, obviously, he has some sort of monies, and I don't see these monies coming out of his accounts or on his credit

cards either.

So in all, it seems to me that he has -- in the past few years he has tens of thousands of reimbursement checks that he had money to front in the first place, and I cannot explain his source of funds after reviewing his personal accounts, other than some source of cash that he has that I'm not aware of.

- Q. And you mentioned Mr. Stern. Did you discuss with Mr. Stern or, frankly, ask Mr. Stern, "Do you know where this unknown source of cash is coming from for the defendant"?
- A. I did ask Mr. Stern that, and he responded that he did not and he didn't know Mr. Maurizio to have a source of cash, but that he thought it was a good question.
 - Q. Let's talk specifically about September 12th of this year, which would be the day the search warrant was executed at the rectory and at the farm. Correct?
- A. I believe so. I wasn't there.
- Q. Was there something of relevance that happened with the defendant and money on the date of September 12th of 2014?
 - A. Yes. Since I wasn't at the search I confirmed this through my counterparts with HSI that it was the day of the search warrant. But if you look at Government Exhibit Number 2, and under the 1st Summit Bank items,

- 1 the item dated 9/12/14, you can see it says in the memo 2 line "bank check." And my analysis is that he purchased 3 a counter check with his money. He withdrew \$9,759.94, 4 which essentially wiped all the money that he had in 5 this account out at the time. I'm not really sure where 6 those funds went. I don't see them being redeposited 7 anywhere, so. 8 Q. And that would be the seventh line down on page 1 of 9 Government Exhibit Number 2 you're referring to, 10 correct? 11 Correct. Α. 12 So your analysis, when he wiped out that account on 13 the day of our search warrant, you do not know where 14 that \$9,700 of cash is right now? 15 A. No, I'm not aware. It seems to me like he -- he 16 could have gotten a cashier's check and -- a cashier's 17 check is always good. So if you just get a cashier's 18 check for cash you can take it to the bank and get it 19 cashed, I'm sure, just for safekeeping. But I'm not 20 aware of what happened to that money. 21 Q. You talked about that you saw in a memo line 22 "Western Union." Did you undertake to look at any 23 Western Union activity that had occurred in the last few 24 years with the defendant?
 - A. I did. And that activity is that he had wired some

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cash to Honduras, a priest in Honduras, and the memo line of that one check -- the wires total \$10,100. \$100 wire seems to be a test of some sort to make sure it would work. But the other two wires, one actually being if you look on the second page of Government Exhibit Number 2 and go back to that item that references Honduras under -- it's under Joseph Maurizio. But under the memo line "Honduras for Western Union transfer for \$2,000," he actually transferred on December 28th, 2011. He transferred \$5,000, which would lead me to believe that he had \$3,000 accessible to him elsewhere that I can't find, in addition to this \$2,000 that he withdrew from the account to cover that check. The other transaction, I can't find any withdrawals from his account to cover that check. So I'm assuming he had all \$5,000 to fund -- to fund that money. And off the top of my head, I believe that that transfer occurred in 2013 sometime. And this is the second \$5,000 for cash transfer from the defendant down to a priest that you're talking about that occurred in 2013, which you have no understanding or no known source of that cash. Correct? That's correct. Α. MS. HAINES: I would move to admit Government Exhibits 1, 2, and 3, Your Honor.

1 MR. PASSARELLO: No objection. 2 THE COURT: Government Exhibits 1, 2, and 3 are 3 admitted without objection. 4 BY MS. HAINES: 5 Agent Petrulak, I believe you testified that you 6 just sort of came on this case about a month ago, 7 correct? 8 Α. Yes. 9 And is it fair to say that in addition to the 10 spreadsheets, you have undertaken additional 11 investigative steps relative to this case? 12 Sure. I've conducted numerous interviews with our 13 team. I'm looking through emails that were obtained the 14 day of the search warrant on Mr. Maurizio's computers, 15 and any other information that we have available. 16 Let's talk then first about the emails. So you 17 started to look at emails that were seized from the 18 defendant's computer pursuant to our search warrant, 19 correct? 20 Correct. Α. 21 Q. Have you looked at all of them yet? 22 No. There's thousands of them. And I just actually 23 received those two days ago, I believe, from our 24 computer forensics person who has to digest the 25 information in his computer and basically puts it into a

format that I can boot up on to my computer and look at.

And so he has them all in Adobe format and I'm able to search.

So I began searching for various terms like "cash" and things like that to see what emails would come up and that reference the terms I searched for.

- Q. And are these emails where you're looking at conversations between the defendant and others?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And in your preliminary investigation have you found emails relative to cash discussions?
 - A. Yes. A lot of which I believe occurred with Mr. Boxler, who is related to the HIM Ministry in the past. And I remember one in particular where Mr. Maurizio says that he's going to be carrying \$3,000 in cash to a Mother Theresa in -- I can't remember the country that he was going to at the time, but he was going to be carrying the cash for her.

And in addition to that, he emailed Rick Boxler a summary of the expenditures for the HIM Ministry, and on the last page of this summary it states that during this span of time that Mr. Maurizio was making mission trips to Honduras that he personally spent thousands. It just says "thousands of dollars in cash" during those trips, doesn't give a specific amount though.

- 1 | Q. And his spending of "thousands of dollars" worth of
- 2 cash, by his own words, you have no idea where that cash
- 3 came from either, do you?
- 4 A. No, I do not.
- 5 Q. Okay. You also stated that you've been a part of
- 6 the interview teams that have gone out recently.
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And is it fair to say yesterday the team was out
- 9 interviewing potential witnesses that may have relevant
- 10 information?
- 11 A. Yes, we were.
- 12 Q. And did you on this occasion have the opportunity to
- 13 | speak with local law enforcement in the Central City
- 14 area relative to what they knew about Mr. Maurizio?
- 15 A. I did.
- 16 Q. And what relevant information was obtained by you in
- 17 talking with local law enforcement?
- 18 A. I was told that on three separate occasions people
- from the local area approached the local police
- department to report that their child had been solicited
- 21 sexually by Mr. Maurizio in the past two years, and they
- 22 wanted the local police department to do something about
- his activity, but they wanted their names to be left out
- 24 of their investigation.
- MS. HAINES: No further questions.

1 THE COURT: Counsel. 2 MR. PASSARELLO: Yes, Your Honor. 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. PASSARELLO: 5 Agent, let's go from backwards to forwards. 6 allegations that local law enforcement talked about, no 7 charges have been filed to the best of your knowledge? 8 Α. To the best of my knowledge, no. 9 0. No. 10 And you're saying they were approached a couple 11 years ago? 12 Within the last two years. He didn't give me any 13 specific date and time. It didn't all occur at one time 14 I gathered from his conversation that I had. 15 Q. And in your analysis and report did you include and 16 count the housing allowance that Father Maurizio 17 receives from the Catholic Church? 18 A. I accounted for anything that was deposited into any 19 of his financial accounts; otherwise, I would not have 20 accounted for it. 21 Okay. Did you account for any cash gifts from 22 parishioners that are given to Father Maurizio? 23 If they didn't make it to his bank account, then no. 24 Okay. And as I understand your Exhibit 1, if I can Ο.

read it -- and I just went to the eye doctor so I'm

- 1 getting older, my eyes aren't so good -- it looks like
- 2 this -- the first part of it, the first block says
- 3 "cash, cash, cash, cash, cash."
- 4 A. Sure.
- 5 Q. And you said \$9,195 of cash?
- 6 A. That would be the total. All of those cashes
- 7 individually are all separate transactions.
- 8 Q. Right. And if I understand that total based on the
- 9 dates, that would be a total of \$9,195 from the year
- 10 | 2007 to the year 2014?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. So I'm no mathematician, I know you are -- that's
- why I became a lawyer -- but that's maybe \$1300 of cash
- 14 deposits a year?
- A. Yeah. I didn't do the math myself, but it's
- 16 probably close.
- 17 O. Okay. All right. So when you make it sound like
- it's \$9,195, that's over a seven-year period?
- 19 A. Sure.
- Q. Yeah. Okay. And you referenced a \$127,000 check or
- 21 something to that effect?
- 22 A. Sure. On Exhibit Number 2 under "cash" there, the
- 23 second cash transaction there was a check that was
- 24 written to cash for \$127,030.76 which occurred in
- October of this year. That wasn't actually conducted in

- cash. As I think I already mentioned, a counter -- a cashier's check was purchased for that money.
 - Q. Did you follow up on where that money went?
 - A. I believe it went to you.
 - Q. Thank you.

4

5

22

23

24

- And the \$9,700 that you referenced that Attorney

 Haines made a big deal about taken out the day of the

 search warrant and he took -- cleaned out an account of

 \$9,700, did you follow up where that money went?
- A. I'm still trying to obtain where that went with the bank. But no, I haven't received anything. We're still receiving records by the day, really, from various
- 13 financial institutions.
- Q. Did you bother to ask Sean Lewis, who runs that account?
- A. I didn't know -- I wasn't aware that Sean Lewis would know where a cashier's check went.
- Q. Okay. And so I'm clear, obviously -- and I asked
 you this previously -- if the Court freezes these
 accounts that Mr. Maurizio has access to, he obviously
 wouldn't have access to them, correct?
 - A. To the accounts, that's correct.
 - Q. That's correct. Oh, and the Western Union cash stuff that was sent, did you follow up on where that went?

- 1 A. I believe I mentioned it went to a priest in
- 2 Honduras.
- 3 Q. For what purpose?
- 4 A. I wouldn't know.
- 5 Q. Were you informed at the last hearing that it was
- 6 food vouchers for poor children?
- 7 A. That's what you had said.
- 8 Q. Did you follow up on that?
- 9 A. I believe our team in Honduras was attempting to
- 10 follow up on it at the time, but I wasn't in Honduras
- 11 myself so I would have been unable to.
- Q. And I think you said that the \$3,000 cash email you
- talked about was to give to Mother Theresa?
- 14 A. Sure. I mean my testimony is that he has a bunch of
- cash that I can't explain where it came from. I don't
- 16 know where it went.
- Q. Well, I'm asking you the \$3,000 -- I know what your
- 18 testimony is, sir. I'm asking the \$3,000 that you
- 19 talked about in this email, I think you said it went to
- 20 somebody named Mother Theresa, or he's holding it for
- 21 Mother Theresa. Right?
- 22 A. I believe that's what the email said.
- 23 Q. And the cash that you can't account for for seven
- 24 | years totals \$9,165?
- 25 A. No, that's not true. That's the cash that went into

1 his bank accounts. I can't account for all the cash 2 that he was reimbursed for. 3 And your investigation is still ongoing? Q. That's correct. 4 Α. 5 Ο. And I'm assuming through your investigation you will 6 obviously consider the housing allowance he gets from 7 the Catholic Church, as well as gifts that he gets from 8 his parishioners to determine where that cash came from, 9 correct? 10 A. I'm not aware that the Catholic Church gives him a 11 cash housing allowance, but if they do I will certainly 12 get to the bottom of it. 13 And to date, there's no IRS or any kind of money 14 laundering charges or anything like that, is there? 15 Α. There are not. 16 MR. PASSARELLO: Thank you. 17 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MS. HAINES: 19 Agent, I believe you attempted to state the focus of 20 your analysis, am I correct, is not on where the cash 21 ultimately went, but how he got that cash to begin with. 22 Correct? 23 A. Yeah. I'm trying to determine his source of money,

his source of funds that were deposited into

his accounts, leading to his vast retirement account,

24

1 and how he paid for these reimbursements up-front. 2 Because I can't really see the source of funds, and that 3 confuses me. 4 And the defense counsel talked about this \$9,000 5 cash talked about and asked you if that's what's 6 unaccounted for, you said no. Correct? 7 That's correct. Yeah. Α. 8 Q. Could you quantify, are we talking about \$100 of 9 unaccounted-for cash over the years, or thousands and 10 thousands of dollars of unaccounted-for cash over the 11 years in the hands of the defendant? 12 Thousands of dollars. If you just look at the 13 reimbursement checks that he's received from the HIM 14 Ministry, they're thousands of dollars each time. 15 in addition to that, although this spreadsheet looks 16 like it encompasses the whole way from 2007 through the 17 present, it doesn't actually, because the Stifel 18 Nicolaus account, the retirement account with the 19 800-some thousand dollars in it, Stifel Nicolaus 20 actually purchased UBS in 2009, late in 2009. 21 So as far as what was deposited into that account 22 prior to around September of 2009, I'm not aware yet, 23 because we still have a subpoena out to UBS because 24

Stifel Nicolaus does not have those deposits. So there

could be additional items not even on this spreadsheet

1 yet. 2 Defense counsel talked to you about the ability or 3 the possibility of freezing accounts, correct? 4 Correct. Α. 5 You can't freeze unknown cash, correct? 0. 6 Α. That's correct. 7 MS. HAINES: No further questions. 8 THE COURT: Attorney Passarello, additional 9 questions? 10 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. PASSARELLO: 12 I understand you can't freeze unknown cash, Agent, 13 but as I understand your testimony here today, your 14 investigation is not complete. Correct? 15 Yes. It's just beginning. Α. 16 And basically what you can testify to today is you 17 see some cash anomalies here, but you don't have an 18 answer for them? 19 A. Yes. I have questions, that's basically what I'm 20 saying. 21 And it's possible that those questions can be 22 answered that they're legitimate sources of cash? 23 It's possible. Α. 24 It's possible, right? Just as it's possible it

could be what Ms. Haines says it is, right?

1 Α. Sure. 2 Absolutely. Q. 3 MR. PASSARELLO: I have nothing further from this 4 witness. 5 MS. HAINES: Nothing further, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: You can step down, Agent. Thank you. 7 MS. HAINES: Your Honor, those are the two 8 witnesses the United States has that go to show our 9 position of both risk of flight and danger to the 10 community which, as the Court is aware, this is a 11 presumption case, which of course we would invoke in 12 addition to the testimony given by our two witnesses 13 and, of course, we would concur with the pretrial 14 services report where they also find the risk of flight 15 and danger to the community in their recommendation to 16 detain. 17 So that is basically the witness and testimony 18 presentation of the United States on our position. 19 THE COURT: Counsel. 20 MR. PASSARELLO: Thank you, Your Honor. We would 21 call Vincent Vena. 22 THE COURT: Sir, if you would come forward and be 23 sworn. 24 VINCENT VENA, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN 25 DIRECT EXAMINATION

1 THE COURT: You may proceed, Counsel. 2 MR. PASSARELLO: Thank you, Your Honor. 3 BY MR. PASSARELLO: 4 Q. Would you state your full name for the record, 5 please. 6 Α. Vincent E. Vena. V-E-N-A. 7 Mr. Vena, where do you currently reside? Q. 8 Α. 528 Waterfall Drive, Johnstown, PA. 9 Q. Where do you work? 10 Western PA Orthopedics and Sports Medicine. Α. What do you do there? 11 Q. 12 I'm an orthopedic surgeon and specialist in 13 musculoskeletal care. 14 Q. Are you married? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. Who are you married to? 17 Α. Johanna Vena. 18 Do you have children? Q. 19 Α. Three. 20 Q. How old? 18, 16, and 13. 21 Α. 22 Q. I'm sorry, where did you say you resided again? 23 In Johnstown. Α. 24 Okay. So would you consider yourself a member of

25

this community?

- 1 A. I am, very much so.
- Q. Have you taken missionary trips with Father Joe?
- 3 A. I have.
- 4 Q. I'm sorry. With the defendant, Father Joe.
- 5 Approximately how many?
- 6 A. We have -- I've been present only on one.
- 7 Q. And where was that, to what country?
- 8 A. Costa Rica.
- 9 Q. On that trip that you particularly went on, did you
- 10 ever observe Father Joe do anything untoward or
- inappropriate to minor children?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Obviously, you weren't with him 24/7, but the times
- 14 that you observed him what did you observe?
- 15 A. I saw nothing but a caring individual. That trip
- 16 was a unique trip. We had the opportunity to
- incorporate some vacation time with my family, my
- 18 children, personal time with us and Father Joe. We also
- spent about half of that trip doing mission work. We
- 20 spent time in Costa Rica at one of the large orphanages
- 21 or the churches that's run down there. So we got to see
- 22 a little bit of both ends of the spectrum, if you will,
- 23 in Costa Rica.
- Q. And, as you understand it, the purpose of Father
- Joe's trips to these Central American countries is

- 1 missionary work. Correct?
- 2 A. Father Joe would be best described as a mission
- 3 priest, yes.
- 4 Q. Have your children been around Father Joe?
- 5 A. Absolutely.
- 6 Q. Do you have any concerns about him being around your
- 7 children?
- 8 A. None. I, you know, as a surgeon, involvement with
- 9 Boy Scouts, I am trained to look for behavior that is
- 10 unacceptable, grooming and the like. Nothing like that
- 11 have I seen with Father Joe.
- 12 Q. Even after today, after all you've heard from the
- 13 United States Attorney's Office, would you have any
- qualms, any hesitation about letting Father Joe be
- 15 around your children alone?
- 16 A. I have no reservations. And my children, I'd offer
- 17 their testimony, if you want.
- 18 Q. Would you consider him a danger to the community?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 MR. PASSARELLO: I have nothing further from this
- 21 witness.
- 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 23 BY MS. HAINES:
- Q. Dr. Vena, I believe you stated that you were on a
- 25 mission trip with the defendant to Costa Rica, correct?

1 Α. Yes. 2 Isn't it true you would not have been present when 3 the defendant was talking with a 16-year-old boy on a 4 Google transcript where he asked the boy if he was 5 wanting him to stay overnight. Correct? 6 Α. That is correct. 7 Isn't it also correct that the defendant is not --8 or more likely than not -- not going to solicit a child 9 for sex in your presence. Correct? 10 I wouldn't think so. Α. 11 Q. And isn't it also true that the defendant is not 12 going to commit a sex act on a child in your presence. 13 Correct? 14 A. I would agree. 15 MS. HAINES: No further questions. 16 MR. PASSARELLO: Nothing further. 17 THE COURT: All right, Doctor, you can step down. 18 Thank you. 19 MR. PASSARELLO: We would call Johanna Vena. 20 THE COURT: If you would be sworn here, please, 21 Mrs. Vena. 22 JOHANNA VENA, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION 24 BY MR. PASSARELLO: 25 Q. Morning.

- 1 A. Good morning.
- Q. Would you state your full name for the record,
- 3 please.
- 4 A. Johanna Vena.
- 5 Q. Where do you reside?
- 6 A. 528 Waterfall Drive in Johnstown.
- 7 Q. Would you consider that part of this community?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Are you married?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Was your husband just testifying?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Are you employed?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. What do you do?
- 16 A. I'm a veterinarian.
- Q. Mrs. Vena, have you been on missionary trips with
- 18 Father Maurizio?
- 19 A. Twice.
- Q. To where?
- 21 A. The first trip was to Honduras, the second was Costa
- 22 Rica.
- Q. Obviously, you weren't with him 24/7, but did you
- observe any inappropriate behavior by Father Joe in
- regards to any of the minor children either in the

- Honduras or Costa Rica?
- 2 A. Never. And I also, as a volunteer with numerous
- 3 youth organizations, I'm trained to identify any type of
- 4 grooming or inappropriate behavior, and I've never seen
- 5 anything with Father Joe.
- 6 Q. Never seen any of that type of behavior?
- 7 A. Correct.

- Q. On your trip to Honduras, how would you characterize
- 9 | the country itself, the culture?
- 10 A. The poverty is shocking. Some of the things that
- 11 | were -- we saw a lot of very surprising displays of the
- 12 poverty that the people there experience. The street
- 13 children are everywhere, and that's who we're trying to
- 14 help on our mission trips.
- 15 O. Was it unusual to see children who were naked?
- 16 A. Not at all.
- 17 Q. Was that prevalent?
- 18 A. It was not unusual. You'll see -- you could tell
- 19 when kids were homeless, you'll see them on the streets
- a lot of times where they appear to be homeless. A lot
- of times they are clothed either very poorly or
- 22 occasionally not at all. And it's also not unusual for
- 23 the kids to go have a swim in the swimming holes. And
- 24 when I was present they wouldn't be naked, but I don't
- 25 think that it would be frowned upon for them to take a

```
1
       swim without clothes for the children.
 2
       Q. Did you observe that there was some testimony or
 3
       evidence presented regarding two pictures that were
 4
       found was of a child who had polio. Did you actually
 5
       observe that child?
 6
               MS. HAINES: Objection, Your Honor. There was no
 7
       specifics --
 8
               MR. PASSARELLO: I apologize.
 9
               MS. HAINES: There was no specific testimony
10
       during this hearing as to the child, who it was,
11
       anything --
12
               MR. PASSARELLO: I apologize.
13
               THE COURT: Do you withdraw the question?
14
               MR. PASSARELLO: I do.
15
               THE COURT: You can rephrase then.
16
               MR. PASSARELLO: Thank you, Judge.
17
       BY MR. PASSARELLO:
18
           There was some evidence or testimony presented at
19
       this hearing regarding the two pictures that he's --
20
       Father Joe's being charged with. Do you recall that?
21
       Α.
          Yes.
22
           And do you recall the specific child that was
23
       referenced?
24
               MS. HAINES: Objection, Your Honor. Once again,
25
       there has been nothing in this hearing that has talked
```

```
1
       about the specific identity of the child and those two
 2
       images that the defendant is currently charged with.
 3
               THE COURT: I will permit the question. Go
 4
       ahead.
 5
       BY MR. PASSARELLO:
 6
           Do you know the child that we're talking about?
 7
           In --
       Α.
 8
       Q.
           If you know. If you don't --
 9
           In previous hearings there was discussion about
10
       pictures of a child that I --
11
               THE COURT: Well, if you will stop just one
12
       moment. This is based on personal knowledge, not what
13
       was heard at previous hearings. So as long as you are
       going to testify to personal knowledge --
14
15
               THE WITNESS: I am.
16
               THE COURT: -- you can go ahead.
17
               THE WITNESS: Well, I can tell from the
18
       description of the pictures I knew exactly the child
19
       that was being discussed because there was a child --
20
       shall I describe --
21
       BY MR. PASSARELLO:
22
       Q.
          Yes.
23
          There was a child that lived at the foot of the hill
24
       of the orphanage in Honduras who was crippled, and I
25
       personally witnessed him, and we have discussed him,
```

- 1 Father Joe and also George that was at the orphanage. 2 When you observed him personally, what did you 3 observe? He was naked and laying in the middle of the road. 4 5 Are you aware that Father Joe or George purchased 6 something for that child? 7 Yes. George was telling me about how the 8 organization, the orphanage went to great lengths to get 9 a wheelchair for this child, and they got him one. And 10 his parents didn't bother using it and the child 11 wouldn't use it. So the child just drug himself around 12 without using the wheelchair that they provided him. 13 Did you ever see Father Joe ever do anything 14 untoward towards this child? 15 A. No. 16 And when you observed the child he was naked in the 17 middle of the street? 18 A. Yes. 19 MR. PASSARELLO: I have nothing further from this 20 witness.
- 21 THE COURT: Attorney Haines.
- 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 23 BY MS. HAINES:
- Q. Doctor, I am correct, am I not, that while you were with the defendant in Costa Rica you were not present or

1 a part of the conversation between the defendant and a 2 16-year-old boy involving the defendant asking whether 3 or not he could spend the night. Correct? 4 A. Correct. 5 And you would agree with me that the defendant would 6 not solicit a sexual act from a child in your presence. 7 Correct? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. You'd also agree with me that the defendant is not 10 going to commit a sexual act on a child in your 11 presence. Correct? 12 A. Correct. 13 MS. HAINES: No further questions. MR. PASSARELLO: Nothing further, Your Honor. 14 15 THE COURT: All right, Dr. Vena, you can step 16 down. 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 18 MR. PASSARELLO: We would call Rosemary DiLoreto. 19 ROSEMARY DILORETO, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. PASSARELLO: 22 Ms. DiLoreto, hi. I know you told me you were a 23 little hard of hearing, so I'm going to try to speak as 24 loud as I can.

Can you state your name for the record, please.

- 1 A. Rosemary DiLoreto.
- 2 Q. Can you spell the last name for the court reporter.
- 3 A. D-I capital L-O-R-E-T-O.
- 4 Q. And, Ms. DiLoreto, are you related to Father
- 5 Maurizio?
- 6 A. I am.
- 7 Q. How are you related?
- 8 A. I am his sister.
- 9 Q. Where do you currently reside?
- 10 A. We live at 409 Village Street in Windber.
- 11 Q. Has your brother, to the best of your knowledge,
- does he have any prior criminal convictions?
- 13 A. No, not to my knowledge.
- Q. Has your brother resided in this community or nearby
- communities most of or all of his life?
- 16 A. Most of his life.
- Q. Where does he currently reside, if released?
- 18 A. Pardon me?
- 19 Q. Where would he live if released?
- 20 A. He would live at the farm that my parents left him.
- 21 Q. And is that the family farm?
- 22 A. It is.
- Q. Where is that located?
- A. In Paint Township.
- Q. And is that in Somerset --

```
1
       Α.
           Somerset County.
 2
       Q.
           Thank you.
 3
           Was your brother in the military?
 4
       Α.
           Yes, he was.
 5
       0.
           Did he serve our country in the military?
 6
       Α.
           Yes. In Vietnam.
 7
           And what branch of the military?
       Q.
 8
       Α.
          In the Navy.
 9
       Q. Was he honorably discharged?
10
       Α.
          He was.
11
               MR. PASSARELLO: Thank you. I have nothing
12
       further from this witness.
13
               MS. HAINES: If I may have one moment, Your
14
       Honor?
15
               THE COURT: Go ahead.
16
               MS. HAINES: No questions, Your Honor.
17
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You may step
18
       down.
19
               You can call your next witness, Counsel.
20
               MR. PASSARELLO: Thank you, Your Honor. Judge, I
21
       would -- just so the Court's aware -- I would probably
22
       have one more witness on the danger to the community
23
       issue, and then I have four short financial witnesses.
24
       So it won't take that long, it shouldn't.
25
               THE COURT: All right. We will keep going for a
```

```
1
       while, and if my court reporter indicates to me she
 2
       needs a break we will take a break.
 3
               MR. PASSARELLO: Thank you, Judge.
 4
               THE COURT: She has to take down everything we
 5
       say, and she's been going now for almost an hour and a
 6
       half. We will keep going though, go ahead.
 7
               MR. PASSARELLO: Thank you. We would call
 8
       Christine Shaulis.
 9
               THE COURT: Please come forward to be sworn.
10
               CHRISTINE V. SHAULIS, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN
11
                           DIRECT EXAMINATION
12
       BY MR. PASSARELLO:
13
           State your full name for the record, please.
       Q.
           Christine V. Shaulis. S-H-A-U-L-I-S.
14
       Α.
15
           Where do you currently reside?
       Q.
16
       Α.
           2907 Jackson Avenue, Windber.
17
           And are you married?
       Q.
18
       Α.
           Yes.
19
       Q.
           To whom?
20
           Joshua A. Shaulis.
       Α.
21
       Q.
           How many children do you have?
22
       Α.
           Two.
23
           How old?
       Q.
24
           Seven and nine.
       Α.
25
       Q.
          And are you related to the defendant, Father Joseph
```

- 1 Maurizio?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. How are you related?
- 4 A. He's my uncle and my godfather.
- 5 Q. At this point in time are you the power of attorney
- 6 for his financial accounts?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you understand that pursuant to our plan
- 9 submitted to the Court that that power of attorney would
- 10 be revoked?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Are you okay with that?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. During your -- how long have you known
- 15 Father Joe?
- 16 A. My entire life.
- Q. Has he been basically a member of this community for
- 18 his entire life?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Have your children been around Father Joe?
- 21 A. Absolutely.
- 22 Q. Anything that you've observed that you felt was
- 23 inappropriate?
- A. Never.
- Q. If you believed in your heart of hearts that

- 1 | something occurred or was inappropriate, would you raise
- 2 that with the authorities?
- 3 A. Absolutely, yeah.
- 4 Q. The tip line and the children that the United States
- 5 talked about, they're yours, right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And did the government agents come to you and
- 8 interview you regarding this?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Did you have a conversation with Agent Rock
- 11 regarding these allegations?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Could you tell the Court what that conversation
- 14 entailed.
- A. Yes. She met with some people that were concerned
- that my children were affected by Father Joe, and I told
- 17 her that that was absolutely absurd. My children have
- 18 never been alone with him. We're always together as a
- 19 family. She wanted to have my children examined, and I
- 20 said absolutely not, because I would not want to put
- 21 them through anything like that, especially when they've
- 22 never been alone with him. They're little. They don't
- 23 need to be, you know, subjected to something like that.
- 24 And basically I guess that's pretty much what it was.
- 25 Q. Is there anything that she talked about regarding

1 the allegations or who made them? A. From who they were made from, yes. She couldn't 2 3 tell us who it was. 4 Okay. But what was the context --5 The comment was they were "off," meaning strange 6 when she talked to them. 7 And that was Agent Rock's comment? 8 Α. Yes. To my husband, and I heard it. Has there been any other follow up with you on these 9 Ο. 10 matters? 11 A. No. 12 MR. PASSARELLO: I have nothing further. 13 MS. HAINES: I just have one question. 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MS. HAINES: 16 Ma'am, if I understand your testimony, you are the 17 current power of attorney for the defendant, correct? 18 Α. Correct. 19 Q. Which means you currently have personal access to 20 over \$900,000 of the defendant's money, correct? 21 A. Correct. 22 MS. HAINES: No further questions. 23 MR. PASSARELLO: Thank you. 24 THE COURT: You can step down.

MR. PASSARELLO: Your Honor, we would call Sean

```
1
       Lewis.
 2
               THE COURT: And how long do you expect this
 3
       testimony to be?
 4
               MR. PASSARELLO: From my perspective?
 5
               THE COURT: Yes.
               MR. PASSARELLO: Five minutes.
 6
 7
               SEAN LEWIS, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN
 8
                           DIRECT EXAMINATION
 9
       BY MR. PASSARELLO:
10
          Mr. Lewis, would you state and spell your last name
11
       for the record, please.
12
       A. Sean B. Lewis. L-E-W I S.
13
          And, Mr. Lewis, where do you work?
       Q.
14
       Α.
           1st Summit Bank.
15
          You heard the IRS agent testify as to some of the
16
       accounts or the accounts that Mr. Maurizio has at your
17
       bank?
18
       A. Yes.
19
       Q.
           And are you manager of those accounts?
20
           I am not manager of those accounts; I am Father
21
       Joe's financial advisor.
22
          You're aware of those accounts?
23
       Α.
          Yes.
24
       Q.
           And are these accounts that he has sole access to?
25
       Α.
           Yes.
```

- Q. At this point is it his power of attorney that has access to them?
- 3 A. That is correct.
- 4 Q. I'm going to ask you this question: Obviously, if
- 5 the Court freezes those accounts and the power of
- 6 attorney is revoked, are you willing to comply with that
- 7 Court's order?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. The IRS agent testified as to \$9,700 that he doesn't
- 10 know where it came from -- or he doesn't know where it
- 11 went. And have you done research on that and
- investigated, found out where that money went?
- 13 A. Yes. A check -- I met with Father Joe, and a check
- was issued payable to 1st Summit Bank from his personal
- 15 checking account with the bank. That check in turn,
- 16 along with a certificate of deposit that was redeemed,
- was used to purchase a check to you.
- 18 Q. My law office?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And if the agent would have called you or
- 21 interviewed you you would have told him that, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 MR. PASSARELLO: Yeah. I have nothing further
- from this witness.
- 25 CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 1 BY MS. HAINES: 2 Sir, you said you work for 1st Summit Bank, correct? 3 Α. Correct. 4 So what is your official title at the bank? Ο. 5 I'm vice president with 1st Summit, and I also carry Α. 6 my investment and insurance credentials. 7 And how long have you been in the banking industry? 8 Α. Thirty years. 9 Q. You would agree with me, sir, that you cannot freeze 10 unknown sources of cash, correct? 11 Α. That's correct. 12 MS. HAINES: No further questions. 13 MR. PASSARELLO: Nothing further, Judge. THE COURT: You can step down, sir. 14 15 MR. PASSARELLO: Call Tom Weimer. 16 THE COURT: Please come forward to be sworn, sir. 17 THOMAS WEIMER, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. PASSARELLO: 20 Mr. Weimer, just briefly would you state and spell 21 your last name for the record, please. 22 W-E-I-M-E-R, Weimer. Α. 23 And your first name is Tom? Q. 24 Α. Thomas.
- Q. Where do you work?

- 1 A. Stifel Nicolaus.
- Q. You heard the IRS agent testify -- if you did hear
- 3 him testify -- about accounts that Father Joseph
- 4 Maurizio has at Stifel Nicolaus. Correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Are you the manager of those accounts or are you the
- 7 financial advisor for Father Joe at Stifel?
- 8 A. I'm the financial advisor for Father Joe.
- 9 Q. And are these accounts that he has access to
- 10 himself?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And/or his power of attorney?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. Correct.
- 15 If the Court were to order that these accounts that
- are in your control at Stifel Nicolaus, the investment
- accounts, them frozen would you comply with that order?
- 18 A. We would.
- 19 Q. Obviously, you can't track unknown sources of cash,
- 20 correct? You can't freeze those, right?
- 21 A. That's right.
- 22 Q. But you can freeze the accounts he has assess to,
- 23 correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. How many accounts are you running for Father Joe at

1 this point? 2 A. Three. 3 Q. Three. And is that a portfolio account? 4 A. There's a personal account where the bulk of the 5 money is; there's a traditional IRA account; and there's 6 a Roth IRA account. 7 MR. PASSARELLO: Thank you. I have nothing 8 further from this witness. 9 MS. HAINES: No questions, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: All right, sir, you may step down. 11 MR. PASSARELLO: Your Honor, I would call Tom 12 Seitz. 13 Your Honor, just for your knowledge, I have only 14 one witness after this one. 15 THE COURT: All right, sir. If you would come 16 forward and be sworn. 17 THOMAS R. SEITZ, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. PASSARELLO: 20 Q. Mr. Seitz, would you state and spell your full name 21 for the record, please. 22 A. It's Thomas R. Seitz. S-E-I-T-Z. 23 Q. Where do you work? 24 Wessel and Company. Α. 25 Q. And what is that?

- 1 A. It's an accounting firm.
- 2 Q. Do you know Joseph Maurizio?
- 3 A. I do.
- 4 Q. Do you have some accounts that you're aware of that
- 5 he or -- he may have access to?
- 6 A. Yes, through Humanitarian Interfaith Ministries.
- 7 Q. Okay. Are you also aware of something called Top
- 8 Shop?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And is that the only two accounts you're aware of?
- 11 A. There's also another endowment fund with the
- 12 Independent Catholic Foundation.
- 13 Q. The endowment funds, which is the Independent
- 14 Catholic Foundation and Top Shop, does Father Maurizio
- 15 have sole access to those accounts?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. He cannot just write a check to himself or get money
- 18 from you?
- 19 A. He cannot.
- Q. Does it require a second signature?
- 21 A. I believe there is an application process that
- 22 | Humanitarian would have to go through to request
- 23 accumulation of income funds.
- Q. Either way, if the Court would order that these
- accounts be frozen, would you comply with that order?

- 1 A. Yes. I mean, I have no access --
- 2 Q. I mean you can't get money, right?
- 3 A. Right. I can't give him money.
- 4 Q. Okay. But you're just here to testify that those
- 5 accounts exist?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 MR. PASSARELLO: Thank you. I have no further
- 8 questions.
- 9 MS. HAINES: No questions, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: You can step down, sir.
- MR. PASSARELLO: Your Honor, the defense's last
- 12 witness would be Dick Stern.
- THE COURT: Mr. Stern, if you would come forward
- 14 to be sworn, please.
- 15 DICK STERN, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN
- 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MR. PASSARELLO:
- 18 Q. Mr. Stern, would you state and spell your full name
- 19 for the record, please.
- 20 A. Richard W. Stern. S-T-E-R-N.
- 21 Q. Where are you employed?
- 22 A. I'm retired from 35 years at Somerset Trust Company.
- Q. What is it you do now, just enjoy life?
- A. I do mostly volunteer work, which takes up more time
- 25 than working.

1 We're going to talk to you about accounts that Q. 2 you're aware of that you know about that through the 3 church that Father Joe may or may not have access to, 4 okay. 5 What accounts are you aware of, can you testify to 6 those for the Court? 7 A. I'm the finance committee chairman for the church, 8 and there are some 15-odd accounts that the church has, plus a credit card, that we have removed Father Joe's 9 10 authority from all of those accounts. It now resides 11 with me and the deacon that the diocese appointed to 12 temporarily manage the parish. 13 Q. And in order to remove Father Joe, Father Joe had to 14 voluntarily sign for the removal, correct? 15 Α. He did. 16 Ο. And did he do so? 17 Α. He did. 18 You heard the IRS agent testify that he met with Q. 19 you? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Regarding unknown sources of cash; do you remember 22 that discussion at all? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Was his testimony consistent with your recollection

25

of the conversation?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. How long have you known Father Joe?
- 3 A. Approximately 10 years; the time that he has been at
- 4 Our Lady Queen of Angels parish.
- 5 Q. And do you live in this community?
- 6 A. I do. I live in Indian Lake.
- 7 Q. And how long have you lived here?
- 8 A. Since 1980.
- 9 Q. Have you ever in your time knowing Father Joe seen
- 10 him do anything untoward or anything like that to any
- 11 children?
- 12 A. Absolutely not. On the contrary, he was very
- careful around children in my observation.
- 14 Q. Do you find him to be a danger to the community?
- 15 A. Absolutely not.
- MR. PASSARELLO: I have nothing further.
- 17 MS. HAINES: No questions, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: You can step down, sir.
- MR. PASSARELLO: Your Honor, that would be all
- 20 the witnesses the defense has.
- 21 THE COURT: Do you have rebuttal witnesses?
- MS. HAINES: I do not, Your Honor.
- 23 THE COURT: Then before we hear argument we will
- 24 take a 15-minute recess to give the court reporter a
- 25 little bit of a rest, since she's been going for quite a

while now. We will try to come back into session in approximately 15 minutes.

(Recess taken.)

THE COURT: Attorney Haines, if you would proceed first with the oral argument.

MS. HAINES: Thank you, Your Honor.

As I stated at the conclusion of our testimony from our two witnesses, the United States is invoking the presumption of detention in this case. And as the Court is aware, based on the charge in the indictment, it is a presumption of detention case. And the defense has failed to rebut that presumption here today. While they have put forth local supporters who have testified that they have seen nothing wrong or illegal by the actions of the defendant around children, as was amply pointed out, of course those things would not happen in those people's presence.

Also when they put forward financial information, as was the crux of the government's case from the beginning, it's not where the money ultimately went to that's at issue. It's that unknown source of thousands of dollars worth of cash that have existed for years right up to the present, as the IRS agent testified to, is at issue when we're talking about financial situations.

So we do not believe the presumption of detention has been rebutted. And, as we stated, we concur with the pretrial services recommendation of detention, both as they said on risk of flight and on danger.

So let's talk first about risk of flight and what you heard today and why, in the eyes of the United

States, the defendant is a clear flight risk. First, as you heard and as detailed in the pretrial services

report, that the defendant extensively travels outside of the country. I believe in the pretrial services

report it talks about since January of 2013 he has made seven or eight trips outside of this country, right up until this past summer, right before the charges and the search warrant occurred at his residence.

Obviously, with that being said, he has acquired and made many contacts, potentially many friends. We know that he was sending \$10,100 down to a priest down in a foreign country. Looking at that, as was recognized by the pretrial services officer, we're looking at a defendant with extensive travel history and extensive contacts outside of the country.

You also heard today about new charges, or the potential for new charges, not only in Honduras but also here in the United States. Special Agent Adams told you they just returned from Honduras this week, and we now

have Minor Victim Number 2 and Minor Victim Number 3.

Defendant is also already aware of the fact that there is an arrest warrant pending for him in Honduras, based on our indictment that is currently in place based on Minor Victim Number 1. With the knowledge of a current standing arrest warrant in Honduras and the possibility of more charges coming here, as well as in Honduras, it's the contention of the United States it heightens his risk to flee if he's released.

I think it's also very important, as we talk about what is the crux of our financial risk of flight argument, as to how this information even came to us that we came to learn that a priest who makes between 20- and \$25,000 a year has at his disposal in excess of \$900,000, and prior to him turning the \$127,000-plus over to defense counsel, assets in excess of \$1 million.

It's also interesting to note, when you look at the pretrial services report, when the defendant is asked to reveal his financial situation you see how he lists a minimal personal checking account, his HIM account which requires two signatures, some vehicle information, and some information to land. And then at the bottom it says he does have investments or monthly investment income, but "he declines to discuss this."

Now, he declines to discuss a couple extra

thousand dollars he has hidden under his mattress? No, Your Honor. He declined to discuss, like I said, in excess of a million dollars of accessible money that we, as the government, looked into and found after the defense was basically told at one of the hearings "let's sit down and you guys tell us what all you have."

That's how we came to know about all this. It wasn't disclosed initially, and we would argue that that also shows risk of flight.

Going to the danger. Now, the defense probably will want to say, well, the count, Count Number 1 in the indictment is from 2009, and these potential new charges as to Victims 2 and 3 are also from several years ago. But, as the pretrial services officer points out, we concur with the nature of the charges and the sexual abuse perpetrated against children is a risk of danger to the community. And there's no statute of limitations. The Adam Walsh Act I believe does away with that. So whether it happened yesterday, five months ago, five years ago, or 15 years ago that is still a valid, chargeable, prosecutable, and convictable offense.

So let's talk about what's more recent. What do we know more recently that we look at for danger to the community? As you've heard about the different

investigative steps that the agency, Homeland Security, has taken in the very recent past, within the last couple months they did inbound inspections when the defendant was coming back from out of country. What did they tell you they found on these recent inbound inspections, I believe it was from his trip to Coast Rica -- which just happened in July and August of this year, two or three months ago -- what do we find on his camera? We find sets of two different pictures. The first one, as the agent testified to, a child around 10 years old, sitting on the beach, full body shots of the child in picture number one. Picture number two of the child's crotch.

The second set of pictures the agent testified to that they found that were on the camera from his recent trips to Coast Rica and the Dominican Republic was of a child, I believe he testified about 15 years of age, same type sequence of pictures; a full body shot of the child, honing in on the child's groin area.

What else did they find during that inbound inspection from his trip to Costa Rica? They found a dialogue on his cell phone between the defendant and a 16 year old -- 15 or 16-year-old boy -- which as the agent testified to, was made clear in the dialogue between the defendant and this minor child.

And what kind of discussion is the defendant having with this minor child from a different country? He's talking about, "do you want me to stay the night with you, are you having sex with your girlfriend?" This just happened a few months ago. The defendant's preying upon additional minor children.

When we looked at and did our search warrants and we went and we seized various technological devices from hard drives to cameras to phones, everything at the rectory and the farm we could find that may contain evidence, and what do we start seeing as we're looking at his website search history, his download history -- and this is recent? We see the defendant accessing a website not once but I believe the agent said multiple times, www.justusboys.com with a drop down menu with "younger" as one of the places you could look.

We also see that he downloaded an article about sex tourism in Costa Rica. Where did the defendant just come back from? Costa Rica. The agent also talked about a recent download or downloads that he had on the computer that was basically a "Mr. Philippines

16-year-old swimsuit competition," which, as the agent testified to, were young minor boys frolicking around in front of the camera in Speedo-type bathing suits, with the focus being on their groin area.

We also heard from the agent about a YouTube video that was found on the defendant's computer when we seized it dealing with "little white boy gets raped by black man." Now, while it turned out when you access the video it appeared to be two adults, the United States would look upon look at what the nature of the search would have been to find that type of video on this defendant's computer when we seized it on September 12th of this year.

You also heard about off the tip line. We obtained information of the possibility of abuse at the hands of the defendant of two relatives under the age of ten. We would ask you to consider the fact that when approached we were denied the ability to interview these children and we were denied, not only by their mother but the woman who sits as the power of attorney with access currently to over \$900,000 of his personal money.

And finally we'd ask you to consider when we're talking about danger to the community, the information that was just obtained yesterday when the agents were in Central City doing potential further interviews, when law enforcement there talked to them about in the past two years they had received three separate and distinct allegations that the defendant has solicited three separate boys to commit or take part in sex acts with

him. And as you heard, these people that reported it wanted law enforcement to do something about it but didn't want their names or their children involved with it.

So when we're talking about danger to the community, we're not just talking about what happened back in 2009, which by itself shows a danger as recognized by the United States and the pretrial services officer by what the nature of those charges alone are, but we ask you, too, to look at the sequence coming forward right up until the day we seize computers and hardware from him as to what he's looking at, what he's doing, who he's talking to on his phone when he's in Costa Rica, and the pictures and the nature of the pictures he's taking when he was just out of the country.

When you couple that with our risk of flight argument on the new charges that could be coming, he's aware that there's an arrest warrant already in Honduras, and most importantly these unknown sources of thousands and thousands of dollars worth of cash that our IRS agent cannot figure out where they're coming from, we ask that you detain the defendant pending trial.

THE COURT: Attorney Passarello.

MR. PASSARELLO: Thank you, Your Honor.

May it please the Court, obviously, Your Honor, the act is, in essence, mandatory in this sense; that the defendant shall be released unless the Court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendant represents a serious flight risk, or by clear and convincing evidence he presents a danger to the community.

It is true that there is a presumption here and that the United States has invoked that presumption, but the case law is also clear that the defendant need only to present some credible evidence showing that he is not a flight risk or a danger to the community, and our burden is not one of proof but one of production only.

I respectfully submit to this Court that the defense has presented credible evidence showing that this defendant is not a flight risk, a serious flight risk, or any flight risk, or a danger to the community. I respectfully submit that as follows: The United States did not present one member of the immediate community here today to testify that he was a danger. Not one. They didn't present one member of the continental United States that indicates he's a danger to the community or they feel that he is.

We presented well -respected members of this

community, relatives and otherwise, who testified they have no fear of Father Maurizio, have never saw anything untoward done by Father Maurizio, and would have no fear in having their children around Father Maurizio.

Now, they presented to the Court another thing they drop on me the morning of the hearing -- which has become a pattern -- but that they just yesterday found out from the Central City police or something like that that there were three other people that came in approximately two years ago. But again, as with most of their allegations here today, they're general and there are no charges that were filed.

They come in indicating there are two other victims in Honduras but, again, Honduras has not filed nor has the United States. What is before this Court today are the charges that are filed, and the focus of whether he's a flight risk or a danger to the community based on those.

They also talk about the searches, Judge, and the websites and again -- and the phone call. Again, no charges were filed. And I think -- I will tell the Court this: That the YouTube video that they talk about, and it's fair for you to presume that you would have to put in "black male rapes white boy" in order to get that, is not true. And I'll just tell you from

personal experience, my wife wanted a lady's white swing coat one year for Christmas. I put in "lady's white swing coat," and you don't want to know what websites came up. So I don't think that you can presume that search was made, and they had no evidence of what search was made.

They talked about the website in regards to the boys, "just us boys" and said, well, there's a drop down screen that says "younger" boys, but presented no evidence that he ever searched that part of that website.

I would indicate also to the Court that the defendant has no prior record, is 69 years of age, has never had any type of allegation like this at all. He's been a priest for 27 years; 17 years of that priesthood has been devoted to missionary work, helping underprivileged children, not hurting them.

Father Joe was aware of these allegations back in 2009 when they were initially made and investigated by the FBI, and didn't flee. There's no reason to believe that simply because they've made more allegations with these charges that he's an extra flight risk of the same.

He has no history of flight, obviously has no criminal history. He has served his country honorably.

He was in the United States Navy, served in Vietnam, and was honorably discharged.

I don't believe, Judge, that they have met their burden that he is a danger to the community, and I believe we met our burden of production only with credible evidence that he is not.

Let's talk about risk of flight. Again I would indicate he knew about these charges back in 2009 and didn't flee.

We were ordered by the lower court to provide all of the accounts. We did. Both parties are aware of all the accounts that are available. They present the IRS agent who indicates that through his investigation there are unknown sources of cash from which he doesn't know where it comes from. Yet he was unaware of the housing allowance the father gets. He didn't calculate any gifts from parishioners that he gets.

They made a big deal about \$9700 that was transferred on the search warrant pursuant to his investigation, and the United States Attorney's investigation they didn't know where it was. All they had to do was call Sean Lewis. He knew where it went. They could have followed that.

I don't think you can base -- and I think the law is fairly clear that even with the presumption, it

cannot be based on general allegations or future dangerousness or flight.

We have taken every step and provided a comprehensive plan to allay any fears that this Court may have in regards to risk of flight. We have offered, and were ordered until the appeal was taken, to freeze all of his accounts. We would revoke the power of attorney. We have agreed to home detention -- obviously that's part of it -- but with any conditions this Court would put on it. We have done everything -- the United States has his passport. We have done everything that we can do to assure and try to allay this Court's fears that he is not a flight risk.

I believe that the law is that you must find that there is no condition or combination of conditions that will reasonably assure that the appearance of this defendant and the safety of any other person in the community. I don't believe based on what this Court has heard today that you can make that finding, and I would respectfully submit that.

I would ask the Court to release the defendant pending disposition of his case, with all the conditions that we have set forth in our plan -- oh, Your Honor, also to indicate we have -- I got all the documents prepared to place his property up as collateral as well,

1 we've done the appraisal and the title search. 2 The United States talks about the nature of the 3 offense. And the nature of the offense is repulsive, 4 but I think it's mindful to note that to be falsely 5 accused of such things is just as repulsive. 6 Thank you. 7 THE COURT: Anything additional from the United 8 States? 9 MS. HAINES: No, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: I note that the pretrial services 11 officer who prepared the pretrial services report is 12 present. 13 Mr. Bossart, I also note that your report is 14 dated September 26th, 2014, so it was approximately a 15 month and a half ago. Based on what you have heard in

dated September 26th, 2014, so it was approximately a month and a half ago. Based on what you have heard in court and any other information you have received or gathered, is your recommendation the same as you made on September 26th, 2014, or do you have a different recommendation today?

MR. BOSSART: Our recommendation remains unchanged, Your Honor.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Counsel, this is a case that we've been in court today for a significant amount of time, plus you had multiple proceedings prior to this in front of the magistrate judge. There are also

1 exhibits that are fairly extensive in terms of 2 information. So what I am going to do is I am going to 3 take this under advisement, and I intend to issue a written decision today, sometime this afternoon, which 4 5 will be docketed and available to counsel for both 6 parties. And, obviously, this is priority in terms of 7 what I and my staff will be doing today. As I said, we 8 intend to get this filed this afternoon. 9 I thank both counsel for their presentations and 10 arguments today, all of which will assist the Court in 11 its decision. 12 Having said that, we will be in recess until call 13 of Court. 14 (Proceedings concluded at 11:54 a.m.) 15 16 CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER 17 I, Kimberly K. Spangler, Federal Official Court Reporter, in and for the United States District Court 18 for the Western District of Pennsylvania, do hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753, Title 28, United 19 States Code, that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the stenographically reported proceedings 20 held in the above-entitled matter, and that the transcript page format is in conformance with the 21 regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States. 22 Dated this ____ day of ____ 2014 23 24 KIMBERLY K. SPANGLER, RPR 25 FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER